```
MEETING OF THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS
                        13 January 1971
```

AM
10:00
CONVENE, 708 JACKSON PLACE, N.W.
ADMINISTRATION

1. Approval of Minutes of October 1970 Meeting
2. Date of Next Meeting: Wednesday, 17 February 1971
3. Lighting of Federal Triangle and White House - Status
4. Old Georgetown Act:

Administration and Policy Issues
a. Regulation and Control of Exterior Colors
b. Waterfront Development, Urban Design and Development Corporation proposal

## SUBMISSIONS-REVIEWS

I. D.C. Government, Office of General Services
a Shaw School Replacement, loth and Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. - Revised Design
b. Benning Elementary School, 4lst \& East Capitol Sts., N.E. Revised Design
C. Engine Company No. 6 and Rescue Squad No. I, 4 th \& N Sts., N.W. - Revised Design
d. Rosedale Recreation Center Swimming Pool Replacement, l7th and Gale Sts., N.E. - Proposed Design
e. Benning Recreation Center and Swimming Pool - l7th St. and Southern Avenue, S.E.
2. D.C. Government, Office of Economic Development
a. Old Georgetown Act
(1) O.G. 71-47, 1715 htsconsin Ave., N.W. - Add second floor, roof and stucco walls for Japan Inn Inc.

## (2) Appendix 2

b. Shipstead-Luce Act
a. Columbia Plaza Office Building, 24th and E Sts., N.W. Revised Design
b. Acacia Mutual Life Insurance Co. Parking Garage, 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. - Proposed Parking Garage Design
c. Appendix 1
3. American Battle Monuments Commission

Distinguished Military Actions Commemorative Program - Revised Designs

## LEGISLATION

H.R. 15587, "To amend the D.C. Redevelopment Act of 1945 by directing and establishing orderly procedures for the modification of an urban renewal plan and requiring adherence thereto by the D.C. Redevelopment Land Agency, by requiring that provision be made in each redevelopment contract for timely construction of public streets, etc.

INTERVIEWS
11:00 1. D.C. Government, Office of Economic Development
Old Georgetown Act
a. Discussion with Architectural Board of Consultants reference O.G. 71-47, Japan Inn, 1715 Wisconsin Ave., N.W., and related matters.
2. D.C. Government, Department of General Services
a. Shaw School Replacement, 10 th and Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. - Revised Design
b. Benning Elementary School, 4lst \& East Capitol Sts., N.E.Revised Design
C. Engine Company No. 6 and Rescue Squad No. I, 4th and $N$ Sts., N.W. - Revised Design
d. Rosedale Recreation Center Swimming Pool Replacement, I7th and Gale Sts., N.E. - Proposed Design
e. Benning Recreation Center and Swimming Pool-Proposed Design
3. Freer Gallery of Art

Inspection of Proposed Acquisitions

```
MITHUTES IT THE MCO..|PITIN OF TINE ARTS
    13 January 1971
```

I. CONVEIIE, 708 JACKSOH PLACE, IT.T., WASHINCTOH, I.C.

A regular meeting of the Commission of Fine Arts was held in its office on January 13, 1971. The members met Dursuant to notice.

Present were: Hilliam Walton, Chairman
Fordon Bunshaft
Kevin Roche
Hideo Sasaki
Chloethiel Hoorlard Smith

Staff: Charles $H$. Atherton, Secretary
llary Bean
Sibler Jenninfs
Ton "rer
1"anylyn Shaw

## II. ADI'IIISTRATIOH

a. The date of the next meeting was tentativelry set for February 17, 1971.
5. The minutes of the meeting or October 14, 1970 were approved.
c. Lighting of Federal Triangle \& White House, restudy (Exhibit A)
III. SUBIISSIOIS - REVIEHS
a. D.C. Covernment, Office of Ceneral Services

1. Shaw Elementery School, loth Street and Rhode Island Avenue, li.W., revised desisn. Sulton - Carnbell, architects.

The school had been comoletelv redesifned since it was first submitted at the Julv 1970 meetins. The nerspective sketches gave the impression of a much imoroved overall desirn. Insteac of beinf an arbitrary asserblere of georetric forms, it was now a straishtforward resnonse to the functional nedrs of the school. The major chance was in the reshanine or the classront or "]earningr center" elemente, fract asene nom
composed in three identical rectancular spaces. This scheme afforded much freater flexilility in the formation of teaching frouns and resulted in rreater sirplicity of the structural frame. In addition, the service area had been relocated from $P$ Street to an interion allev where it would be less obtrusive.

The rembers questioned the arbitrary shade and Dlacement of the auditorium, but acknowledsed that its placement away from the bulk of the school had been done in order to create an entrance to the kuildinf. The plan was apmroved.
2. Benninf Elementary School, 4lst and East Capitol Street, iN.. ., revised desion. Berle, Abel, and Weinstein, architects.

Having first presented the desjon of thsis school in the October 1970 meeting and having it disapproved, the architects resubmitted a building similar in character to the orifinal plan, but with a consideral ly improved site plan; i.e. the major building elements were all parallel to 4lst Street and avoided the deep and awknard cuts into the hillside that resulted from the oricinal design. While the architectural character was mundane and unimafinative, the members felt that there was little chance the desi ${ }^{\text {g }}$ n would be improved with further review, and thererore they aporoved it.
3. Rosedale Recreation Center Swimmin Pool Feplacement, 17th and Cale Streets, II. ., proposed desifn. Kent Cooper and Issociates, architects.

Placed on the comer of the site so that it would serve both as a public forecourt accessible at all times and as an entrance to the remainder of the fence-enclosec lot, the building housed locker ronms and day care facilities. The architects mroposed a ront of stancling sear sheet metal and walls of "split face" masonry sheared to have a rourh surface. The members felt ti.e facility was well-oranized, simple, and straight-formard. The design was anoroved.
4. Bennins Recreation Center and Swimminf Pool, ?7th and Southern Avenue, S.E., Droonsed design. lijcolas Satterlee and Assnciates, architects.

The prosram for this recreation center was essentiall" the same as Posedale, with the addition of a momnsium. While the members atproved the desifn. ther ?uesticned the need for numerous skvlishts over the craft stur?ios, lounfes, and fymrasium. In the smrnasium a clerestor: was emploved in addition to the stwlisht. The members pointed out that these clerestory windows would create unnecessar: flare, and seemed redundant. Ther surpested that the skylights be simplified, ancl nuestioned the need of the clerestory. A resubmission was requested.
5. Enrine Company No. 6 and Rescue Squad ilo. 1, 4th anc II Streets, H.W., revjsed desirn. Duane, Duane and Cahill, architects.

This presentation was a revision of the first desisn presented in the Tuly 1970 meeting. The reaction of the members was mixed, since sore preferred the orisinal design over this submission. They recommended that the concrete frames protruding from around the wiadows be eliminated. Apreeing that further study of the desisn would bring little improverent, the mbmbers waived further review of the pooject.
b. D.C. Government, Office of Economic Nevelomment

1. O.G. 71-47, 1715 Wisconsin Avenue, H. F., nov buildinf for Japan Inn, Incorporated. Faulkner and wilfes, architects.

P'r. Thomas Stolman and irr. Wrnant van der Pool represented the Ceorgetom Advisory Board. In its review or the design for the Janan Inn, the Advisory Foard had questioned the appropriateness of the use of style reminiscent of nineteenth century Jananese architecture. The materials proposed were stucco and dark wond.

While the Commission members acknowledred the nossible stylistic comparisons that mirht be made, they anproved the desirn because they felt the scale ancl desisn of the restaurant was appropriate to its site and was a good contemporary solution. lir. Sasaki, speaking for the

Commission, surgested that large trees be planted across the south side of the building and alone Kisconsin fvenue and $R$ Street, screening the Darkins area from both the restaurant and the street. The members felt that the precedent of such a design would not be detrimental to the integrity of ceorsetown, since oach new case would be considered on its orn merits, and the aciministration of the law would not be adversely affected.
2. Rerulation of color in Ceorretomn

The nembers discussed the lesirability or the
Commission's control over the use of paint on structures in Ceorgetorn. This control could be obtained by a change in the present building permit resulations so that a permit would be required before one painted a structure in Ceorretown. The members decided that such a refulation would be most difficult to administer since most of the recent cases in question were in the commercial area where there is a bigh rate of turnover in ownership. It was also pointed out that naintins did not constitute a permanent defacement. For these reasons, ther recomrended no chanos in the present code.
3. Acacia "utual Ijife Insurance Corpany, Darkine garare, 51 Louisiana Avenue, H. H., Droposed desisn. Shreeve, Lamb, and Harmon, architects.

The parking farage would he located on a site north of and immediatelv adjacent to the insumance companv's office building. The proposed farage was faced with limestone similar to that used on the ofrice tuildint.

I'r. Bunshaft stated, and the other members concurred, that this garage should not have the apoearance of an office building, but should mate obvious by its exterior facade the purposel of the buildinf. The rierbers surrested that the garare be nade lower, b" olacinf several stories underground, for these reasons: a lower farage would obstruct fewer windows in the neighborinf office buildine; it would make fever cars visiale on the surface of the site; and it woulc create a more pleasing relationshin of volures with the existing office buildinf. Tley surcested that a simple, free-stancins wall misht be used to screen the farage from Louisiana Avenue.
4. Anpendix 1 - anoroved. Exhibit B.
c. American Battle "onuments Comrission

Distinguished Militarr Actions Commemorative Prosrar, revised designs.

Tro monuments, to be used as prototvpes for markers in Europe and the Pacific, were presented for the Comission's consideration. One, to be used in the Euronean theater, was an obelisque: the other, to be used in the Facific theater, was a rectanfular slab. Both were surrounded by larse bases and had surfaces suitable for hearing appropriate inscriptions.

The members questioned the validity of two different designs for monuments to be used in the same manner. They also felt that the designs were unnecessarily complicated and sufrested that a simpler volume, Derhaps a stone cube, Le used instead. Exhibit 'C.

## LEGISLATIOH

H.R. 15587, "To amend the D.C. Redevelodrent Act of 1355 be directine and establishing orderly procedures for the modification of an urban renewal plan and requiring adherence thereto b, the D.C. Redevelopment Land Apency, by renuirins that nrovision be made in each redevelopment contract for timelv construction of public streets, etc."

The secretarr stated there were a number of faults, in his opinion, in the lesislation; amons them, chiefly, the provision that anyone in a renewal area could veto chanfes to the plan, thus "freezing" it. The chairman agreed, but felt that the bill demancled no immediate action, and the members consented.

## INTERVIEWS

D.C. Covernment, Office or Economic Development Shipstead - Juce Act

Acacia lutual Life Insurance Farkinf Carare, 51 Louisiana Avenue, $\mathrm{IJ}^{\prime} \mathrm{I} .$, proposed design. Shreeve, Lamb, and Harmon, architects.

Nr. llears represented the life insurance cornanr. "r. Bunshaft explained the Commission's recommendations to "r. 'Years. He recommended that the elevations facing Louisiana and low Jersey Nones be treated as simple screen walls, and that the materials be both complementary to the office building as well as anpronriate for a carafe. He suggested that poured concrete with a limestone aggregate be used.

The meeting adjourned at ll:45 a.m.


Charles H. Atherton, Secretan*
addenda: D.C. Government, Office of EConomic Development S.L. 71-23 2301-2305 Virginia Ave. N.W. Office Building for Columbia Plaza; James Cosgrove and Ass., architects.

The Commission noted caanges in the design, which had been reviewed previously. Some concern was expressed over the use of concrete, which was much stronger in the new design than in the existing components of the Columbia Plaza development. The new design was approved.

I have been slow in reacting to your great lighting project of the Federal Triangle, slow meraly: hecause I wanted the full Comission to have a look pefore ve discussed it. is I said when we had lunch last fall to discuss the ligiting, the Comnission is very pleased that you are so actively expanding the lighted areas. I think there are some problerss in tho project az it stands now. The Comission was inclined to agree with some of the newspaper critics that the over all effect is terribly chilly, i.e. the color is too cold.

And then there is the matter of the space ahove the cornice line which has beon lighted much too strongly. It seems to throw the rest of the lighting completely off balance. I would bo happy if you would designate some member of your staff to discuss it with me and members of my staff.

With best wishes and congratulations on the big steps that you have made.
yours,
milliam qalton
chairman

Honorable Robert L. Kunzig<br>Administrator<br>General Services Administration<br>Washington, D.C.

# January 13， 1970 

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON OLD GEORGETOWN ACT APPLICATIONS
January 13， 1970

| $\frac{N O}{O G} 71-$ADDRESS AND OWNER <br> 22 |
| :--- |
| I643 Wisconsin Ave．， <br> Elias Namerdy |
| ACTION：Recommended provide |
| White．10／26／70． |

23

 पsnxq əsəueder ut st obot ；səオ əч山 •əsnoûetd qəyxew shes zeч7

1665 Wisconsin Ave．，N．W． $\begin{aligned} & \text { Canopy over entrance made of vinyl coated canvas with galvanized } \\ & \text { Hair Inc．}\end{aligned}$ pipe frame．
ACTION：Not recommended．Existing conditions and character of building not suitable for mounting of awning． 283406 R St．，N．W．Build concrete and gravel driveway．

Mrs．Dudley Knox．New brick steps，rail，gate \＆light．

XICNGdd甘
N.W.
$151426 t$
ACTION: Not recommended. Suggest ground floor windows be uniform in design with a better relationship to the rest of the facade. 11/25/70

33

Preliminary review of proposed 3 story office building.
Recommend further study of west elevation. 11/25/70
Revamp existing bath,
entrance and yard work per plan. 11/25/70 entrance and yara work pex plan.
Remodel existing licensed 5 unit apartment building.
-
REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON OLD GEORGETOWN ACT APPLICATIONS (CONT).
as per attached plan and rendering.
3327 M St.' N.W.
Humble Oil
$\Rightarrow$
41
42
Replace existing pump island lighting and yard floodlighting
CTION: Recommended as revised, omitting decorative lantern and brackets andcutting off pole flush with top of lamp housing. $1 / 7171$
45
Install 2500 watt quartz fixtures at locations "A" in attached photos. Install 2 250-watt quartz fixtures at locations "B" in the attached photos. on i and elevation showing revised openings. 1/7/71

$$
3268 \text { P St., N.W. }
$$

street parking space; a
including site plan showing relation of driveway to porch

> New windows and doors. New pilasters and superstructure above.
> Removal of projecting bays on $M$ St. Leave base under projections and turn into planting area. Precast planter at corner of Wisc. and $M$.

> CTION: Recommend that no change be made to existing entranceway. No objection to other changes such as removal t 0 imina
N.W.

Lee Dechter
ACTION: No objection. 1/7/71.

January 13, 1971
REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON SHIPSTEAD-LUCE ACT APPLICATIONS
APPENDIX 1
January 13, 1971
SL 71-
19

| $19 \begin{array}{ll} & 8161 \text { E.Beach Dr., N.W. } \\ & \text { Lee Satterfield } \\ \text { ACTION: } & \text { No objection. } 11 / 12 / 70\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

208165 E. Beach Dr., N.W.
James w. Johnson
ACTION: No objection. 11/12/70
Installation of a temporary banner type sign (32" high x $32^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ Iong)
REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON SHIPSTEAD-LUCE APPLICALIONS (COnt.)

## Dear Colonel Jones:

I an sorry that there has been some mix-up in getting word to you on the Commission's action regarding lir. Iniry's designs. As you probably know, I spoke to him following the Conmission meeting and gave him a rough idea of the Contission's objections.

Briefly, they feel that onc dosign would be simplor than tivo and that a simple rectangular slab or stelo would he the best form. The obelisk is, after all, a bit overused. practically every small unit in the European Campaich has erected somothing along that order so that a sinple rectangle would be muck more distinctive. Of courso this form lends itself much better to the placement of inscriptions.

Also the Commission would like to suggest a base of lighter design. It secmed unnecessarily hoavy.

We hope to be meeting on the 17 th of March. If there is anything $I$ can do to expedits review by the Conmission please lot me know.
sincerely yours.

Charles A. Atherton Secretary

Colonel William P. Jones
American Battle Monuments Commission
Room 2067
Tempo A Building
Washington, D.C.
20315

